

No. 35 Nassau street, an opportunity for obtaining justice."

The membership fees are \$20 per annum, but it is hoped to reduce it to \$10 when there are 300 members.

Through the interference of the society the sum of \$211,407 has been collected and paid over to

clients, and 735 persons have received legal assistance during the society's existence.

A MILLION DOLLARS INVOLVED.

THE CITY SUEING THE "L" ROAD FOR RENTAL MONEY CLAIMED TO BE DUE.

A suit which may put several hundred thousand dollars into the city treasury or take from it upward of a million dollars was tried yesterday in the

Special Term of the Supreme Court. It is brought by the Mayor against the Manhattan Elevated Railway Company to recover five per cent upon the entire passenger traffic of the New York Elevated Railroad Company—the Ninth and Third avenue lines—for some years past, and the action is one in the nature of an accounting.

Since October, 1879, the New York company, through the Manhattan, has paid to the city \$268,493 75 upon the basis of percentage, but it was received by the city only on account, as the contention is that more should have been paid.

The New York company was incorporated in 1871 and succeeded to the rights, privileges and franchises of the Yonkers and West Side Elevated Railroad Company which was constructed under

The laws of 1866, 1897 and 1898. The New York company operated the road and built and operated the Third Avenue line until 1879, when by the merger agreement its roads and the road of the Metropolitan company were leased to the Manhattan company, which has since been running them.

The agreement made by the Manhattan company, as a condition for the lease, was the payment by it to the New York and Metropolitan companies of ten per cent on their capital stock, but this was later reduced to six per cent. The capital stock of the three companies was \$26,000,000 when the merger was made.

It is to be noted that it is entitled to receive five per cent of the net income from the office revenue of the three companies.

ger traffic of the New York lines, while the elevated roads take an entirely different view of the situation. Their theory of the controversy is that if bound to pay at all they are only obliged to pay five per cent upon the rental it received from the Manhattan company, which is the basis of its earnings.

It is contended, however, that the act of 1868 is unconstitutional; that the road is not liable at all, but if it is that it has overpaid the city upward of

Another claim is that the laws provided that the payments should be made as compensation for the use and occupancy of the streets. It was then supposed that the city owned the streets and could give the right to use and occupy them to the road through legislation, but since then the Court of Appeals has decided that the abutting property owners are entitled to damages for the easements in the streets and that the city had no right to make such a contract.

street \$847,654, and to others along Ninth avenue \$23,889, making a total of \$871,513. This does not include unpaid judgments. A counter claim for this sum is interposed because of the breach of covenant on the part of the city.

Mr. Davies stated that the elevated railroad people had simply paid the money over by mutual mistake for peace's sake, in order to avoid litigation. The payments were originally about \$84,000 per year, but they were reduced to about \$20,000

which the Manhattan company reduced to completion, the New York company received ten per cent of its capital stock. The judgments paid were in order to prevent the seizure of the "L" road property.

Auditor Gaynor was the only witness examined, but his testimony was unimportant.

Mr. Strahan conceded that if the Court determined that the road was only obliged to pay five per cent upon its net income, that then the city had no case and probably had been overpaid. In

When the Court decides that either the city or the "L" road is entitled to a money judgment, then a referee will have to be ordered to ascertain the amount. The lawyers will discuss the legal points involved on some future day.

Wall street men should not fail to read the EVENING TELEGRAM's special cables on the London stock market.

FIDELITY AND CASUALTY AFFAIRS.

WHAT THE OFFICERS SAY AS TO THAT IMPAIRMENT OF CAPITAL.

The offices of the Fidelity and Casualty Company wore their usual appearance of activity yesterday, and no evidence could be seen of any anxiety caused by the official report of the Insurance De-

George F. Seward, the vice president, said, when asked him for an explanation, that the difference between the company's accounts and those of the examiners were mainly technical. The examiners had calculated the reserve fund on a monthly basis, while the company had taken the annual or

"The rule of the law in nearly every State in the Union is the latter," said Mr. Seward. "The New York law, both for fire and casualty companies, as it appears, contains ample justification for the application of the monthly rule, although all companies are required to pay a 10 per cent rate, and the insurance departments of this and all other States accept their annual statements so made up. The difference against the company so arising amounts to upward of \$50,000."

There is a further difference arising from assets which are commercially good, but not admitted by the New York department, as not complying strictly with the requirements of law. These items amount to about \$80,000. There are still further differences consequent upon a larger estimate by the examiner of the amount of losses outstanding, the examiner, as is proper, having made his estimates large enough to cover all eventualities. He fol-

owed his best judgment in giving so. Mr. Beard also claimed, however, that the company itself can better judge of its liability for outstanding losses, since it is continually dealing with losses, and since its business, both in its fidelity and casualty branches, is peculiar. There is a still further difference arising somehow out of the fact that the accountings of the examiner gave no credit for the company's December business, although a good deal of the December outgoes were incurred.

Mr. Seward said that the company's own statement is being prepared to December 31 and will show that on the 80 per cent basis there is no impairment, but a surplus of about \$70,000.

"Our reserve on the 50 per cent basis as of December 31st will be about \$80,000. We fully believe that 50 per cent of this will cover all losses and expenses attending the business until the risks are run off. Our margin in it is, therefore, about \$440,000. This, with our estimated surplus of \$70,000, is \$510,000, and, with our capital of

\$250,000, we have a fund of \$760,000 over and above our liabilities.

A GOOD REASON.

Never print a paid advertisement as news matter. Let every advertisement appear as an advertisement—no mailing under false colors.—*Charles A. Dana's Address to the Wisconsin Editorial Association, Milwaukee, July 24, 1888.*

"Of course I am for Charles A. Dana for the

United States Senate," said Arthur Frost, "for the simple and sufficient reason that he is big enough for the place and none of the other candidates are. Why should a second rate or a third rate man be sent there when a first rate man is available? This is no unfair comparison. Intellectually Charles L. Dana outweighs all the rest of the candidates put together. It may be an old fashioned notion, but I acknowledge that I regard brains as the first qualification needed in a United States Senator.

NO MERCY FOR DIVORCE SHARKS.

The bogus divorce lawyers, William H. Buttner and William D. Hughes, were to have been sentenced to jail for their part in the divorce of Charles A. Dana.

enced in the Court of General Sessions yesterday, but on District Attorney Nicoll's motion the cases were laid over until next Wednesday.

The District Attorney said that three years would be the limit under Buttrick's plea of guilty of grand larceny in the second degree and under Hughes' plea of forgery in the second degree. Commutations deducted, the sentences would be practically two three and a half years in prison.

"This," said Mr. Nicoll, "is too little for lawyers

that have degraded their calling and have made
bigamists of innocent people." Mr. Nicoll wanted
time to prepare to push the other indictments.

IN WANT AND SUFFERING.

John Gordon, wife and three children, living on
the top floor of No. 27 Cherry street, are suffering
and on the verge of starvation. Gordon, who is

Only twenty-eight years old, until about a year ago earned good wages as a broom maker and supported his family well. Then he was stricken with consumption. For the last four weeks he has been confined to his bed a helpless invalid. His wife formerly earned \$4.50 a week, but was forced to stop work and devote all her time to the care of her husband and three children.

Contributions for this afflicted family will be received by the HERALD or the Earl Guild, No. 173 Centre street.